

To: **Chief Prosecutor, Crime Division**
Attorney-General's Chambers
No. 1 Upper Pickering Street,
Singapore 058288.
tan_kiat_pheng@agc.gov.sg

From: **Ms Carissa Cheow Hui Ying**

[REDACTED]

Monday 27th March 2023 – by email

URGENT ATTENTION

Attn: Chief Prosecutor Mr Tan Kiat Pheng

Dear Sir,

Preferring of Charges for Various Offences Committed by Mercury Jamie Alice ([REDACTED])

01. I am Ms Carissa Cheow Hui Ying, and I write with reference to various cases relating to the person named above, namely one Mercury Jamie Alice ([REDACTED]). The facts stated in this letter which are within my personal knowledge are true, and the facts set out in this letter which are not within my personal knowledge are true to the furthest of my knowledge and belief. I confirm that I am aware of Penal Code § 182 and the consequences which might arise from its contravention.
02. I understand that Mercury Jamie Alice (hereinafter “Mercury”) was charged in the State Courts on 25 May 2022 for one count under Penal Code § 268A and one count under Penal Code § 292(1)(a), and that the charge number is DAC-908165-2022. I further understand that Mercury has attended six more Further Mentions in the State Courts for these two charges on 22 June 2022, 20 July 2022, 17 August 2022, 14 September 2022, 12 October 2022, and 9 November 2022, following which Mercury’s current criminal case has proceeded to a first Pre-Trial Conference on 8 December 2022.

Mercury’s current Penal Code § 268A and § 292(1)(a) charges

03. The Penal Code § 268A offence (hereinafter “anthrax hoax”) concerns an anthrax hoax which Mercury had carried out on the evening of 9 August 2021 by filing an anonymous SPF i-Witness report falsely claiming to be Ms Siti Nurul Ain binte Erwane (hereinafter “Siti”), who I understand is Mercury’s ex-partner and have come to be acquainted with, and alleging that one Mr Mohammad Adnan bin Mohammad Azman (hereinafter “Adnan”), who I understand is Siti’s ex-partner and am not acquainted with, would release anthrax spores into Bedok Bus Interchange’s ventilation system.
04. Mercury had requested the technical assistance of my friend Ms Vickreman Harvey Chettiar (hereinafter “Harvey”) to render Mercury’s anonymous SPF report untraceable back to Mercury with the express intent of causing Siti to be imprisoned for communicating false information of harmful thing instead and hence inflicting trauma upon Siti. Harvey did not agree to this request.
05. Consequent to this, Mercury had falsely named Harvey to be the mastermind behind the anthrax hoax offence to the then-Investigation Officer, namely Inspector Roger Seah Ming Hui formerly from Bedok Police Divisional Headquarters. Mercury has separately admitted to myself and others on multiple occasions that Mercury is trying to pin Harvey as the mastermind of Mercury’s own anthrax hoax precisely because Harvey had refused to abet Mercury’s commission of a criminal offence, and Mercury believes that Harvey’s refusal had gotten Mercury into otherwise avoidable trouble with the law – a clear obstruction of justice.

Disclaimer: I am not an Advocate & Solicitor under the provisions of Part IV of the Legal Profession Act (Chapter 161), do not in any form or manner represent myself as a person authorized to practice law or perform any act as such advocate and solicitor under Section 32 of the said Act, and am not at all performing any such aforementioned act as such person in expectation of any fee, gain or reward.

06. By way of context, Harvey was a former friend and *de facto* caretaker to Mercury from March 2019 to August 2021, when Mercury had falsely accused Harvey of masterminding an anthrax hoax which Mercury had in fact committed on 9 August 2021. Siti was formerly in a romantic relationship with Mercury from December 2017 to July 2021, and after breaking up with Mercury in part due to longstanding abusive behaviour by Mercury towards Siti, Mercury had sought to frame Siti for the false anthrax hoax report Mercury had filed under Siti's name with the intent of getting Siti imprisoned and traumatized into getting back into a relationship with Mercury.
07. The Penal Code § 292(1)(a) offence (hereinafter “possession of obscene materials”) concerns Mercury having possessed an iPhone containing 72 obscene videos and 60 obscene images between April 2020 and August 2021, which was found and seized by the police upon Mercury’s arrest for the anthrax hoax on 9 August 2021.
08. I shall hereinafter traverse how I came to be directly affected by the aforementioned matter.

Background to Mercury’s further criminal offences

09. On 26 May 2022, I had received a WhatsApp message from +44-7537-105-620, and the account bearing this number had a display picture of Mercury’s face. I no longer have the first message as I had hastily blocked the number and cleared the message history out of a desire to avoid contact with Mercury, and I had thus consequently lost the first message. I do recall that the first message discloses that the account is one of Mercury’s secondary WhatsApp accounts which Mercury had set up under the pretext that Mercury’s other devices were seized and this is the only way for Mercury to contact myself and other friends to request for our help. I had subsequently unblocked the +44-7537-105-620 WhatsApp number, and received a second message at 1.14pm which reads:
“I saw that Elijah made a public petition for Chettiar, and I’d to request for him to make one for me to ask the State Courts to not prosecute me over offences which I did not commit of my own free will, but out of force.”
10. During Mercury’s arraignment on 25 May 2022 for Penal Code § 268A and § 292(1)(a) charges, the matter was adjourned for further mention on 22 June 2022 in the State Courts. In the period from 26 May 2022 and 21 June 2022, I was not aware of any further contact from Mercury as I had reblocked the +44-7537-105-620 WhatsApp number – this time without clearing message history – after receiving the second message and taking a screenshot of it to ensure I did not lose it the same way I had lost the first message. None of my other friends who would also subsequently come to be contacted by Mercury had received any similar contact to the best of my knowledge.
11. On 18 June 2022, my friend Ms [REDACTED] (hereinafter “[REDACTED]”) had come to learn that Mercury had sent an intimate video recording of [REDACTED] to one of [REDACTED]’s friends, who in turn alerted [REDACTED] to Mercury’s distribution of the intimate video recording which was recorded by Mercury during the only encounter between Mercury and [REDACTED] on 17 September 2020 at Mercury’s then-residence, and circulated by Mercury without consent. [REDACTED]’s friend had unexpectedly encountered Mercury in person during dinner and parted ways after a short exchange, after which [REDACTED]’s friend had received the aforementioned intimate video recording of [REDACTED] from Mercury’s primary Telegram account @hgalice. I understand that this had occurred after Mercury had sighted several persons – some already known to Mercury previously – including but not limited to myself, [REDACTED], and Harvey at a public event attended by over 20,000 persons on the same day, and this was made known to me subsequently through the following messages.

Disclaimer: I am not an Advocate & Solicitor under the provisions of Part IV of the Legal Profession Act (Chapter 161), do not in any form or manner represent myself as a person authorized to practice law or perform any act as such advocate and solicitor under Section 32 of the said Act, and am not at all performing any such aforementioned act as such person in expectation of any fee, gain or reward.

12. On 21 June 2022, the day before Mercury's Further Mention on 22 June 2022, I came to learn that two other persons (hereinafter "Kyra" and "Elijah") I am acquainted with had received similarly worded messages from a "Salvatore" Telegram account with the handle @SalvatoreSigma whose profile description reads "Backup Account of Ms Mercury Jamie Alice". The first messages were signed off with "Faithfully Yours, Ms Mercury Jamie Alice".
13. These messages involve Mercury appealing to target recipients to assist Mercury with dropping the existing Penal Code § 268A and § 292(1)(a) criminal charges Mercury was facing, as well as laying blame on Harvey for "causing" Mercury to be arrested for Mercury's own criminal offences, primarily the anthrax hoax. Kyra had received three messages all on 21 June 2022, whereas Elijah had received five messages on 21 June 2022 and two messages on 25 June 2022, consequent to which both Kyra and Elijah had blocked the "Salvatore" Telegram account.
14. Upon Kyra and Elijah both having blocked the "Salvatore" Telegram account, I came to learn that three other persons (hereinafter "Elijah", "Gurprit", and "Lune") I am also acquainted with had received messages from a "Reaper" Telegram account with the handle @AletheaReaperAngel whose profile description reads "Backup Account of the Underworld System".
 - a. The "Reaper" account had opened its first messages to Elijah and Gurprit stating that:

"We'd been messaging with both [Kyra and Elijah], and [you'd/they] both blocked us abruptly, on Mercury's own backup Telegram account, so now we've to switch to the backup account shared among the entire Underworld System.

I hope you'll not do the same again, as that just creates inconvenience, but don't stop anything, as we will just deregister that prepaid number, buy a new prepaid number and create another Telegram account to continue conversation(s)."
 - b. The "Reaper" account had then sent further messages to Gurprit which include, but are not limited solely to, the following three messages:
 - i. Gurprit received the following message on 26 June 2022 at 8.45pm:

"It is not too late in my Court case for Harvey to step up and confess to the cops that she sent the anthrax hoax message, as even if the police don't believe her, they'll take a confession anyway, and move the charge to her.

The above was the first landlord that Harvey found for us in 2019, and we took this video-clip just for the laugh, but we're fully willing to force-fuck an old lady in order to fulfill a strategic need, so Harvey will do well to keep in mind that we can rather easily pay a visit to number six Lengkok Bahru.

Harvey has until 8th July 2022 Friday to come clean to the police with her confession to the anthrax hoax, as it is owed to us as reparations for what she did on 10th March 2019 Sunday anyway, or we'll go to Lengkok Bahru."
 - ii. Gurprit received the following message on 26 June 2022 at 9.30pm:

"The Underworld System's original partner, Kit, is giving full service to our enormous sausage here - he'd reintegrated into the whole, which had caused them, Siti Nurul Ain Binte Erwane, to leave us. We thought we could make Kit break loose from the whole by getting them the necessary trauma, and so sent the anthrax hoax in order to get them locked up by the police for several weeks in IMH, but because of Ms Chettiar's refusal to help us, we ended up caught instead.

Everything would have worked out as we had planned if not for Ms Chettiar refusing to do what she was told, so the very least she owes us is to free the System from the consequences of the anthrax hoax that she screwed up by stubbornly refusing to help us.”

- iii. Gurprit received the following message on 26 June 2022 at 9.35pm, which specifically references the message in paragraph 14b(i) as “this message”, while referencing the message in paragraph 14b(ii) as “our immediate preceding message”:

“Make sure this message gets to Harvey, as well as our immediate preceding message.”

- c. The “Reaper” account had also sent various sexually harassing messages concerning [REDACTED] to Elijah and Gurprit, and further sent a whole thread of sexually harassing messages to Lune targeting her directly and including five full-frontal nude intimate photographs of Ms Lai Rena (hereinafter “Rena”), one of two persons alongside Ms Heather D Tan Zi Min (hereinafter “Heather”) currently in a romantic relationship with Mercury. It is not known if Rena had consented to the circulation of these photographs, let alone for this purpose and in this manner.
- d. I further put on record my understanding that “the Underworld System” refers to the set of alters that comprise Mercury Jamie Alice, and cite a Rice Media article published at <https://www.ricemedia.co/did-living-with-twenty-people-in-one-body/>.

15. From 21 June 2022, eight known burner mobile phone numbers comprising two foreign SIM cards and six Line2 subscription numbers have been used by Mercury to message at least fourteen different persons. Please find listed the burner phone numbers and their associated devices:

- a. These numbers are known to be used on Mercury’s first Nokia 7+ burner mobile phone:
- Two Line2 numbers **+44-7418-601-380** and **+44-7418-601-580**
 - One European prepaid SIM card **+44-7537-105-620**, infrequently used
- b. These numbers are known to be used on Mercury’s second Nokia 7+ burner mobile phone:
- Two Line2 numbers **+1-939-200-2180** and **+1-939-609-2350**
 - One European prepaid SIM card **+44-7537-105-040**, infrequently used
- c. These numbers are known to be used on Mercury’s sole Nokia 7.2 burner mobile phone:
- One Line2 number **+44-7418-601-285** still in use on this phone
 - One Line2 number **+44-7418-601-510** in use on this phone up till 8 January 2023

16. Please refer to the following exhibits enclosed within the first Bundle of Evidence (439 pages) for a compilation of messages sent by Mercury to various recipients from 21 June 2022 to 5 July 2022, consequent to which I had lodged my first SPF report **F/20220705/2001** on 5 July 2022:

#	Display name	Handle	Platform	Phone number	Duration	Recipients	Figures
1	Salvatore	@SalvatoreSigma	Telegram	+44-7418-601-510 (Line2)	21 Jun 2022 to 25 Jun 2022	Kyra, Elijah	Figures 610 to 621
2	Reaper	@AletheaReaperAngel	Telegram	+1-939-200-2180 (Line2)	25 Jun 2022 to 27 Jun 2022	Elijah, Gurprit, Lune	Figures 629 to 649
3	Alexandra Wilde @ Trauma Doll	@AlexandraWilde	Telegram	Not known	27 Jun 2022	Lune	Figure 650
4	Mercury Jamie Alice	Not applicable	WhatsApp	+44-7537-105-620 (SIM card)	29 Jun 2022	[REDACTED]	Figures 651 to 657
5	James Gamez	No handle displayed	Telegram	+44-7418-601-380 (Line2)	30 Jun 2022 to 6 Jul 2022	Carissa, Lune, Nisha	Figures 658 to 668
6	L u w u n e ☾	@LuneLotion	Telegram	+44-7418-601-510 (Line2)	1 Jul 2022	Derek	Figures 669 to 676
7	Carissa Cheow	@carrie_on_please	Telegram	+44-7418-601-285 (Line2)	4 Jul 2022 to 5 Jul 2022	Carissa	Figures 1 to 138
8					4 Jul 2022	Sreya	Figures 693 to 695
9					5 Jul 2022	Lune	Figure 139

Disclaimer: I am not an Advocate & Solicitor under the provisions of Part IV of the Legal Profession Act (Chapter 161), do not in any form or manner represent myself as a person authorized to practice law or perform any act as such advocate and solicitor under Section 32 of the said Act, and am not at all performing any such aforementioned act as such person in expectation of any fee, gain or reward.

17. Please refer to the following exhibits enclosed within the first Bundle of Evidence (439 pages) for a compilation of messages and posts sent by Mercury to various recipients starting from 6 July 2022 onwards up till 5 September 2022, after I had lodged my first SPF report **F/20220705/2001** on 5 July 2022 and separately lodged a Magistrate's Complaint **CM-907743-2022** on 6 July 2022 as advised by my then-Investigation Officer, Inspector Muhammad Firdaus bin Heizer from Ang Mo Kio Police Divisional Headquarters, and before I had filed my **PHC/10322/2022** claim in the Protection from Harassment Court naming Mercury as the Respondent and seeking an Expedited Protection Order, which was granted by the Court and served upon Mercury on 5 September 2022:

#	Display name	Handle	Platform	Phone number	Duration	Recipients	Figures
1	Carissa Cheow	@carrie_on_please	Telegram	+44-7418-601-285 (Line2)	6 Jul 2022 to 10 Jul 2022	Carissa	Figures 140 to 269
2	Elijah Tay	@secretlyderek, @AquaticBlack	Telegram		10 Jul 2022	Carissa	Figures 270 to 276
3	Derek	@SecretlyDerek	Telegram				Figures 277 to 278
4					11 Jul 2022 to 13 Jul 2022	Gurprit	Figures 696 to 705
5					11 Jul 2022	Elise	Figures 706 to 707
6	James Gamez	No handle displayed	Telegram	+44-7418-601-380 (Line2)	11 Jul 2022 to 12 Jul 2022	Lune	Figures 708 to 709
7	Derek	@SecretlyDerek	Telegram	+44-7418-601-285 (Line2)	13 Jul 2022	“Children of Palestine” public chatgroup	Figures 279 to 311
8	L u w u n e ☺	@omyhongu	Telegram		13 Jul 2022 to 15 Jul 2022		Figures 312 to 374
9					15 Jul 2022	Lune, Elise	Figures 746 to 747
10	James Gamez	No handle displayed	Telegram	+44-7418-601-380 (Line2)	16 Jul 2022	“Children of Palestine” public chatgroup	Figures 375 to 377
11						“HackerspaceSG” public chatgroup	Figures 748 to 769
12	Mercury Jamie Alice	@hg_alice	Instagram	9837-4183 (SIM card)	17 Jul 2022 to 22 Jul 2022	Public	Figures 1139 to 1159
13	<i>The Undying Dragon, Mercury Jamie Alice</i>	@hg_alice	Telegram	+44-7418-601-580 (Line2)	21 Jul 2022 to 24 Jul 2022	Lune, Ant, Carissa, Kyra, Tobias, and several chatgroups	Figures 770 to 796
14	Nic	No handle displayed	Telegram	+44-7418-601-510 (Line2)	25 Jul 2022 to 28 Jul 2022	Tobias	Figures 811 to 865
15					25 Jul 2022 to 31 Jul 2022	“Children of Palestine” public chatgroup	Figures 378 to 386
16					25 Jul 2022	Carissa, Lune	Figures 866 to 871
17					25 Jul 2022 to 5 Sep 2022	Joyce	Figures 872 to 882
18	Mohammad Nordin bin Abdul Samad	@mohdnordinabdulsamad	Instagram	Not known	29 Jul 2022	Public	Figure 687
19	Mercury Jamie Alice	@ hg_alice	Instagram	9837-4183 (SIM card)	16 Aug 2022 to 17 Aug 2022	Public	Figures 1160 to 1165

18. Prior to 5 September 2022, a total of 15 SPF reports (including 2 case cards issued after 999 calls) were lodged by eleven distinct persons across five land divisions in the SPF relating to criminal offences understood to be committed by Mercury during the material period. The report numbers are **G/20220629/7006**, **F/20220705/2001**, **J/20220706/7000**, **A/20220712/2125**, **J/20220713/7006**, **A/20220713/2011**, **A/20220713/2013**, **F/20220713/7004**, **G/20220716/2086**, **F/20220725/0136**, **G/20220811/7003**, **J/20220825/7079**, **J/20220827/0018**, **J/20220829/2150**, and **D/20220901/7066**.
19. From 4 July 2022 to 10 July 2022, Mercury had falsely personated me using a Telegram burner account bearing my display name "Carissa Cheow" and with my display picture but with the handle @carrie_on_please instead; for reference, my actual handle is @cari_on_please. On 5 July 2022, after I lodged SPF report **F/20220705/2001**, Mercury had declared me to be #1 on Mercury's hit list, with my name labelled "Marked for Death", and had obtained my then-rental residence at Far Horizon Gardens through falsely personating my friend Lune. The fake "Carissa Cheow" account would subsequently be turned into a fake "Elijah Tay" account briefly before turning into a fake "Derek" account falsely personating a lawyer, Mr Derek Wong Kim Siong (hereinafter "Derek"), with the intent to dispense fraudulent legal advice and illegally obtain personal information of witnesses for a now-concluded Protection from Harassment Court claim **PHC/10100/2022** initiated by Ms Ng Rui Lin Joyce (hereinafter "Joyce") from March 2022 to May 2022.

Disclaimer: I am not an Advocate & Solicitor under the provisions of Part IV of the Legal Profession Act (Chapter 161), do not in any form or manner represent myself as a person authorized to practice law or perform any act as such advocate and solicitor under Section 32 of the said Act, and am not at all performing any such aforementioned act as such person in expectation of any fee, gain or reward.

20. On 13 July 2022, Mercury had subsequently used the fake “Derek” account to create a public Telegram chatgroup named “Children of Palestine” (t.me/Children_of_Palestine) and had added several persons including myself to the chatgroup. After the real Derek had joined the chatgroup, the fake “Derek” account had subsequently turned into a fake “L u wune C” account falsely personating Lune. The same account had later admitted on 15 July 2022 to causing the death of an unknown individual (hereinafter “Hisham”) resembling my friend Harvey and who was last seen carrying a backpack from Harvey while hiking in the Central Catchment Nature Reserve on 14 July 2022, under the mistaken belief that Hisham was Harvey.
21. On 15 July 2022, Mercury had, using the fake “L u wune C” account, declared that if I were to continue gathering evidence of Mercury's criminal offences, Mercury would have to go ahead and eliminate those who stand against Mercury, including myself. Taken in conjunction with the admission to having killed Hisham under the mistaken belief that Hisham was Harvey, these circumstances had posed a substantial and ongoing threat to my safety and security which had continued unabated even after I had filed my first SPF report.
22. The consequence of the sheer scale, frequency, and complexity of the offences across all cases relating to Mercury is that an extended duration of time is required for police investigations to be completed, and this was further exacerbated by Mercury’s own repeated use of multiple burner accounts to “muddy the waters” and make it prohibitively difficult to conclusively prove Mercury to be the operator of these burner accounts within a sufficiently short time.

PHC/10322/2022 (Carissa Cheow Hui Ying v. Mercury Jamie Alice)

23. In view of these circumstances, I lodged **PHC/10322/2022** with the Protection from Harassment Court on 1 September 2022, for which I am the Claimant and Mercury is the Respondent. My application for an Expedited Protection Order (EPO) was heard *ex parte* (in Mercury’s absence) by District Judge Kenneth Chin Jiayang on 5 September 2022, consequent to which I was successfully granted an EPO (**PHC/ORC/80489/2022**) on 5 September 2022. I had served notice of both my claim and my EPO upon the Respondent at 9.52pm on 5 September 2022.
24. Within slightly over three hours, Mercury had already contravened the terms of my Expedited Protection Order (**PHC/ORC/80489/2022**) for the first time, and would go on to breach my EPO repeatedly in the following months. These constitute offences under § 10(1)(b) of the Protection from Harassment Act, and may also contravene other sections of the Penal Code and other laws. Please refer to the following exhibits enclosed within the first Bundle of Evidence (439 pages) for each instance of Mercury’s breach of my EPO and their corresponding SPF reports:

#	Date of breach	Figures	Account name	Phone number	Platform	Recipient	SPF Report No.
1	6 Sep 2022	Figures 391 to 392	Nic	+44-7418-601-510	Telegram	Joyce	G/20220906/7103
2	8 Sep 2022	Figure 393					J/20220908/2161
3	22 Sep 2022	Figures 394 to 396					L/20220922/0131
4	25 Sep 2022	Figure 689	@mohdnordinabdulsamad		Instagram	Public	G/20220925/7068
5	16 Oct 2022	Figures 401 to 402	Pritam Singh	+44-7418-601-285	Telegram	Carissa	F/20221017/2009
6	17 Oct 2022	Figures 403 to 409					F/20221018/2012
7	22 Oct 2022	Figures 475 to 477	Tobias Keh				No specific report
8	28 Oct 2022	Figure 570					
9	18 Nov 2022	Figures 583 to 600					
10	5 Dec 2022	Figure 1115	@mohdnordinabdulsamad				Instagram

Disclaimer: I am not an Advocate & Solicitor under the provisions of Part IV of the Legal Profession Act (Chapter 161), do not in any form or manner represent myself as a person authorized to practice law or perform any act as such advocate and solicitor under Section 32 of the said Act, and am not at all performing any such aforementioned act as such person in expectation of any fee, gain or reward.

25. During the second Case Management Conference held on 12 January 2023, the proceedings for **PHC/10322/2022** have been stayed by the Court until the completion of police investigations into the matter at the request of both the Claimant (i.e. myself) and the Respondent (i.e. Mercury).
26. I am not aware if criminal charges are being considered against Mercury for the aforementioned breaches of my Expedited Protection Order, whether under § 10(1)(b) of the Protection from Harassment Act, or under other sections of the Penal Code. To the best of my knowledge, I have thus far not been able to adequately enforce my EPO even after it has been breached repeatedly.

PHC/10324/2022 ([REDACTED] v. Mercury Jamie Alice)

27. [REDACTED] lodged **PHC/10324/2022** with the Protection from Harassment Court on 1 September 2022, for which she is the Claimant, and the Respondent is also Mercury. [REDACTED]'s application for an Expedited Protection Order (EPO) was heard *ex parte* (in Mercury's absence) by District Judge Kenneth Chin Jiayang on 5 September 2022, consequent to which she was successfully granted an EPO (**PHC/ORC/80483/2022**) on 5 September 2022. Along with myself, [REDACTED] had also served notice of both her own claim and EPO upon the Respondent at 9.52pm on 5 September 2022.
28. [REDACTED] had lodged the above Claim and named Mercury as her Respondent after Mercury had circulated several intimate recordings of [REDACTED] recorded by Mercury during a one-time sexual encounter between Mercury and [REDACTED] on 17 September 2020, during which Mercury had used gamma hydroxybutyric acid (GHB) to cause [REDACTED] to go along with sexual acts Mercury had planned which [REDACTED] had been reluctant to engage in. I understand that the intimate recordings have been circulated to multiple individuals and chatgroups amounting to a total of around 120,000 viewers, and constitutes a landmark case of revenge pornography which is being investigated by the SPF pursuant to [REDACTED]'s earlier SPF report **G/20220629/7006**, and which may result in criminal charges for Mercury under Penal Code § 377BE both for initially threatening to distribute intimate recordings, and for actually distributing them afterwards.
29. Within slightly over three hours, Mercury had likewise already contravened the terms of [REDACTED]'s Expedited Protection Order (**PHC/ORC/80483/2022**) for the first time, and would go on to breach [REDACTED]'s EPO repeatedly in the following months. These constitute offences under § 10(1)(b) of the Protection from Harassment Act, and may also contravene other sections of the Penal Code and other laws. Please refer to the following exhibits enclosed within the first Bundle of Evidence (439 pages) for each instance of Mercury's breach of [REDACTED]'s EPO and their corresponding SPF reports:

#	Date of breach	Figures	Account name	Phone number	Platform	Recipient	SPF Report No.
1	6 Sep 2022	Figures 883 to 884	Nic	+44-7418-601-510	Telegram	Joyce	F/20220906/7087
2	25 Sep 2022	Figure 688	@mohdnordinabdulsamad		Instagram	Public	G/20220925/7068
3	29 Sep 2022	Figures 898 to 900	Nic	+44-7418-601-510	Telegram	Joyce	No specific report
4	17 Oct 2022	Figures 403 to 409	Pritam Singh	+44-7418-601-285	Telegram	Carissa	F/20221018/2012
5	21 Oct 2022	Figures 412 to 435	Tobias Keh				F/20221023/2001
6	27 Oct 2022	Figures 541 to 563					F/20221027/2106
7	3 Nov 2022	Figure 582					No specific report
8	18 Nov 2022	Figures 585 to 590					F/20221118/0136

30. During the second Case Management Conference held on 12 January 2023, the proceedings for **PHC/10324/2022** have been stayed by the Court until the completion of police investigations into the matter at the request of both the Claimant (i.e. [REDACTED]) and the Respondent (i.e. Mercury).

Disclaimer: I am not an Advocate & Solicitor under the provisions of Part IV of the Legal Profession Act (Chapter 161), do not in any form or manner represent myself as a person authorized to practice law or perform any act as such advocate and solicitor under Section 32 of the said Act, and am not at all performing any such aforementioned act as such person in expectation of any fee, gain or reward.

31. I am not aware if criminal charges are being considered against Mercury for the aforementioned breaches of [REDACTED]'s Expedited Protection Order, whether under § 10(1)(b) of the Protection from Harassment Act, or under other sections of the Penal Code. To the best of my knowledge, [REDACTED] has thus far not been able to adequately enforce her EPO even after it has been breached repeatedly.

PHC/10330/2022 (Vickreman Harvey Chettiar v. Mercury Jamie Alice)

32. Harvey lodged **PHC/10330/2022** with the Protection from Harassment Court on 5 September 2022, for which she is the Claimant, and the Respondent is also Mercury. Harvey's application for an Expedited Protection Order (EPO) was heard *ex parte* (in Mercury's absence) by District Judge Jill Tan Li Ching on 8 September 2022, consequent to which she was successfully granted an EPO (**PHC/ORC/80501/2022**) on 8 September 2022. Shortly after her EPO hearing, Harvey received a call from the Singapore General Hospital informing her that her grandmother was in critical condition due to a sudden worsening of her vitals, and was expected to pass away that night.
33. On that same night of 8 September 2022, Harvey's grandmother Mdm Pappa @ Seethalakshmi had suddenly passed away at the Singapore General Hospital (for which the case is investigated by Clementi Police Division under Assistant Superintendent Bryan Sng Guang Ming pursuant to the SPF reports **A/20220908/0129** and **D/20220910/2004**). It should be noted that the fake "Nic" Telegram account, which itself falsely personates one Mr Nic Jude Das whom I am not acquainted with, had admitted to intentionally causing the death of Harvey's grandmother in messages sent to Joyce on 9 September 2022 and 17 September 2022; please refer to Figures 886 and 887 enclosed within the first Bundle of Evidence (439 pages) for these messages.
34. Harvey had thus only served notice of both her claim and her EPO electronically via email upon the Respondent at 6.12pm on 21 September 2022. Within slightly over an hour, Mercury had likewise already contravened the terms of Harvey's Expedited Protection Order (**PHC/ORC/80501/2022**) for the first time, and would go on to breach Harvey's EPO repeatedly in the following months. These constitute offences under § 10(1)(b) of the Protection from Harassment Act, and may also contravene other sections of the Penal Code and other laws. Please refer to the following exhibits enclosed within the first Bundle of Evidence (439 pages) for each instance of Mercury's breach of Harvey's EPO in 2022 and their corresponding SPF reports:

#	Date of breach	Figures	Account name	Phone number	Platform	Recipient	SPF Report No.
1	22 Sep 2022	Figure 890	Nic	+44-7418-601-510	Telegram	Joyce	No specific report
2	25 Sep 2022	Figure 893					
3		Figure 691	@mohdnordinabdulsamad		Instagram	Public	G/20221105/7007
4		Figure 907	Nic	+44-7418-601-510	Telegram	Siti	L/20220925/7024
5	29 Sep 2022	Figures 896 to 897				Joyce	No specific report
6	30 Sep 2022	Figures 917 to 919	Tobias Keh	+44-7418-601-285	Telegram	Tobias	W20220930/0006
7	21 Oct 2022	Figures 420 to 465				Carissa	G/20221019/7093
8	27 Oct 2022	Figures 518 to 519					F/20221027/2106
9	3 Nov 2022	Figures 572 to 582					No specific report
10	18 Nov 2022	Figures 583 to 590 Figures 603 to 609					F/20221118/0136
11	5 Dec 2022	Figures 1102 to 1109	Nic	+44-7418-601-510	Telegram	Joyce	No specific report
12		Figures 1116 to 1118	@mohdnordinabdulsamad		Instagram	Public	G/20221207/7041
13	13 Dec 2022	Figures 1110 to 1112	Nic	+44-7418-601-510	Telegram	Joyce	No specific report

Disclaimer: I am not an Advocate & Solicitor under the provisions of Part IV of the Legal Profession Act (Chapter 161), do not in any form or manner represent myself as a person authorized to practice law or perform any act as such advocate and solicitor under Section 32 of the said Act, and am not at all performing any such aforementioned act as such person in expectation of any fee, gain or reward.

35. On 9 February 2023, an Instagram account falsely personating Harvey had appeared, bearing the handle @vickremand; for reference, Harvey's actual Instagram handle is @vickreman. It is *prima facie* apparent from the account description that the account operator intends to cause Harvey to be remanded, and is most definitely not Harvey as it contains highly defamatory characterizations of Harvey as a "Racist Jewish Child Molester & Fake Transgender, Anti-Government Terrorist, Member of [Sa La Kau/Dee Yit Peh], Manipulative Sociopathic Bitch not yet in Remand", which are clearly calculated to falsely injure her standing in the eyes of others. This is consistent with Mercury's previously expressed intents and desires to cause Harvey to take the fall for Mercury's own criminal offences by falsely asserting that Harvey had framed up Mercury for these offences.
36. In conjunction with this @vickremand Instagram account, Mercury had used the fake "Keh Jun Peng Tobias" account on Telegram, which falsely personates my friend Mr Tobias Keh Jun Peng (hereinafter "Tobias") to message Joyce in tandem with Instagram stories by @vickremand. Please refer to the following exhibits enclosed within the second Bundle of Evidence (396 pages) for each instance of Mercury's breach of Harvey's EPO in 2023 and their corresponding SPF reports:

#	Date of breach	Figures	Account name	Phone number	Platform	Recipient	SPF Report No.
1	12 Jan 2023	Figures 881 to 890	Keh Jun Peng Tobias	+44-7418-601-285	Telegram	Joyce	No specific report
2	8 Feb 2023 to 11 Feb 2023	Figures 891 to 896					F/20230209/2001
3	9 Feb 2023 to 12 Feb 2023	Figures 897 to 911	@vickremand	Not known	Instagram	Public	G/20230212/7072
4	11 Mar 2023	Figures 1486 to 1487	Keh Jun Peng Tobias	+44-7418-601-285	Telegram	Joyce	Report yet to be lodged by Tobias who was named
5		Figures 1488 to 1490	@vickremand	Not known	Instagram	Public	

37. Separately, Mercury was to file a Response to my own **PHC/10322/2022** Claim within 14 days of being served notice, which would mean no later than 19 September 2022. Mercury filed a Response to my Claim via the Community Justice and Tribunals System (CJTS) on 18 September 2022, one day before the deadline, with the Response number **PHC/RSPS/40199/2022**. In this Response, Mercury explicitly pleads on the record in the 14th paragraph the following:

"I would like to add that Miss Vickreman has attempted to, on or about the 1st of September, 2022, file a PPO against myself as an act of revenge for filing PHC/10295/2022 against her, but the case was dismissed by the Honourable Judge Jill Tan on the 8th of September, 2022. I believe this matter and PHC/10322/2022 are filed with similar intentions of harassment and revenge on behalf of Miss Vickreman. I am therefore requesting for this case to be thrown out."

38. I am not aware if criminal charges are being considered against Mercury for the aforementioned breaches of Harvey's Expedited Protection Order, whether under § 10(1)(b) of the Protection from Harassment Act, or under other sections of the Penal Code. To the best of my knowledge, Harvey has thus far not been able to adequately enforce her EPO even after it has been breached repeatedly.

PHC/10484/2022 (Ng Rui Lin Joyce v. He Jiasheng, Mercury Jamie Alice, & Heather D Tan Zi Min)

39. Joyce lodged **PHC/10484/2022** with the Protection from Harassment Court on 19 December 2022, for which she is the Claimant, and three Respondents were named in her claim: He Jiasheng as the 1st Respondent, Mercury as the 2nd Respondent, and Heather as the 3rd Respondent. Joyce's application for an Expedited Protection Order (EPO) was heard *ex parte* (in all three Respondents' absence) by District Judge Sheik Umar bin Mohamed Bagushair on 21 December 2022; however, her EPO application was ultimately not granted.

Disclaimer: I am not an Advocate & Solicitor under the provisions of Part IV of the Legal Profession Act (Chapter 161), do not in any form or manner represent myself as a person authorized to practice law or perform any act as such advocate and solicitor under Section 32 of the said Act, and am not at all performing any such aforementioned act as such person in expectation of any fee, gain or reward.

40. Joyce had served notice of her claim to the 2nd and 3rd Respondents at 9.16pm on 1 January 2023, and had separately served notice of her claim electronically via Telegram to the 1st Respondent at 1.41pm on 6 January 2023. As Joyce was not granted an Expedited Protection Order, I will not be listing instances of breaches as they would not be applicable here in the same way they would be in the other three PHC claims, namely **PHC/10322/2022**, **PHC/10324/2022**, and **PHC/10330/2022**. Be that as it may, Joyce's claim form details eight incidents with varying degrees of involvement by the three named Respondents which may constitute offences under not only the Protection from Harassment Act, but also under other sections of the Penal Code and under other laws.
41. Consequent to Joyce serving notice of **PHC/10484/2022** to the Respondents, I have come to learn of further information detailing possible criminal offences that are likely to have been committed by Mercury, as well as the involvement of other actors in aiding or abetting Mercury's offences, or acting unlawfully with a tendency to obstruct, prevent, pervert, or defeat the course of justice to favour Mercury. Please refer to the second Bundle of Evidence (396 pages) for these details.
42. I have also come to learn of possible incidents involving corrupt practices by several persons in collaboration with Mercury, and I have consequently lodged a complaint with the Corrupt Practices Investigation Bureau (CPIB) on 25 February 2023 after a first appointment on 16 January 2023, and separately lodged the SPF report **F/20230222/7130** to forward my CPIB complaint to the SPF. The details of these incidents are likewise enclosed in the second Bundle of Evidence (396 pages).
43. Of particular concern, I have also come to learn of the involvement of at least eight organizations, comprising three foreign entities and five local entities with a known budget of at least US\$200,000 for the purposes of perverting the course of justice to favour Mercury and to disfavour persons such as myself and many others who can testify against Mercury for offences committed by Mercury against ourselves by obtaining information which they believe will be useful to discredit our personal character and hence undermine our witness testimony. I have also come to learn of the involvement of one notable lawyer, Mr Toby Landau KC of Duxton Hill Chambers, in this effort to assist Mercury; according to his profile on the Duxton Hill Chambers website, "since April 2012 he has been a member of the Panel of Advisors to the Attorney-General of Singapore".
44. To the best of my knowledge, persons inside or linked to these eight organizations have in various ways opted to assist Mercury to further their own ends of influencing Singapore's laws and policies to become more closely aligned with what they believe to be their own religious teachings and hence running contrary to the secular public space essential to the functioning of Singapore society, and in a manner deeply prejudicial to specific demographic segments of our population considered to be undesirable in their worldview. Please find listed the names of these eight organizations:

#	Name of organization	Unique Entity Number (UEN)
1	The Witherspoon Institute	Not applicable (registered in the United States of America)
2	CanaVox	
3	Global Rainbow Crossers Alliance	
4	Focus on the Family Singapore	200108115N
5	Cornerstone Community Church	S95SS0118J
6	Church of Our Saviour	T08CC4065E
7	3:16 Church	T13SS0015L
8	Liberty League	200416193K

Disclaimer: I am not an Advocate & Solicitor under the provisions of Part IV of the Legal Profession Act (Chapter 161), do not in any form or manner represent myself as a person authorized to practice law or perform any act as such advocate and solicitor under Section 32 of the said Act, and am not at all performing any such aforementioned act as such person in expectation of any fee, gain or reward.

45. I shall hereinafter refer to these organizations and/or persons inside or outside but linked to these organizations as “Mercury-aligned Christian Right groups” on the basis that these organizations have espoused beliefs that can be described as seeking to influence politics and public policy to align with their conservative interpretation of the teachings of Christianity, while noting that they are not the only groups that fit this description in Singapore, merely the ones which I have come to learn are assisting Mercury to further their own objectives.
46. Both Mercury and the Christian Right groups assisting Mercury have sought to advance narratives favourable to Mercury and disfavourable to persons such as but not limited to myself who have been persistently targeted by Mercury, specifically by reframing the incidents we attribute to Mercury using DARVO (“deny, attack, and reverse victim and offender”) methods. Such methods are aimed at reassigning fault to persons, including myself, who have been persistently targeted by Mercury by deliberately mischaracterizing us as conspiring to frame Mercury out of some vendetta, ignoring the fact that many of us have only come to know each other more precisely because we were targeted by Mercury and in the course of fending off attacks from Mercury.
47. I shall hereinafter traverse three instances where DARVO methods have been used, namely:
- PHC/10295/2022 (Mercury v. Harvey, Siti, & Tobias) lodged by Mercury
 - Mercury’s allegations of at least five persons supposedly framing Mercury
 - Deliberate falsehoods advanced by Mercury-aligned Christian Right groups

PHC/10295/2022 (Mercury Jamie Alice v. Vickreman Harvey Chettiar, Siti Nurul Ain binte Erwane, & Tobias Keh)

48. Mercury had lodged **PHC/10295/2022** on 1 August 2021 with the Protection from Harassment Court, for which Mercury is the Claimant, and three Respondents were named in Mercury’s claim: Harvey as the 1st Respondent, Siti as the 2nd Respondent, and Tobias as the 3rd Respondent. Mercury’s application for an Expedited Protection Order (EPO) was heard *ex parte* (in all three Respondents’ absence) by District Judge Sheik Umar bin Mohamed Bagushair on 3 August 2022, consequent to which Mercury was granted an EPO (**PHC/ORC/80427/2022**) specifically only against Harvey, the 1st Respondent to Mercury’s claim, on 3 August 2022. I would later understand that Mercury had attempted to serve notice of both Mercury’s claim and EPO on 8 August 2022.
49. I understand that Mercury had lodged this Claim to accuse the Respondents of being the ones falsely personating Mercury instead so as to set Mercury up to be investigated and charged for criminal offences which Mercury alleges were done by the Respondents. Specifically, Mercury’s claim form for PHC/10295/2022 had stated the following when describing the incident(s):

“The Respondent(s) have created numerous false social media profiles impersonating myself and my Alters, which have put out messages doxxing personal details about myself and other individuals. Said profiles have also leaked intimate photos of myself and my partners. The profiles have committed several acts under the Penal Code which I was falsely arrested for and am currently being investigated for. The Respondent(s) have also used the legal system to abuse myself and my partners. The Respondent(s) have also threatened the physical safety of myself and my partners. This has occurred over the stipulated time period and is too complex to summarise in this box. We believe the Respondent(s) are involved and are pursuing a Magistrate’s Complaint against them through Legal Aid.

We have complied some of the evidence in the link below:

<https://1drv.ms/u/s!Aqn6knf89o1MgcoPkhq-7PK2xtomyw?e=9sZJkR>

The password is CaseRena^”

50. Mercury's claim form for **PHC/10295/2022** had also stated the following when summarizing the consequences of the incident(s) and how the Respondent had caused the Claimant, namely Mercury, harassment, alarm or distress, or facilitated or provoked the use of violence:
- "As a result of the actions of the respondent, my name, phone number and (now former) residential addresses were all doxxed, and the same occurred for my partners, Miss Lai Rena and Miss Heather D Tan Zi Min. Additionally, myself and Miss Rena's intimate photos were sent via Telegram and Instagram to various mutuals and people of significance, including Miss Lai Rena's mother, Mdm Winn Goh, further causing us distress and irreparable damage to the relationship between Miss Rena and Mdm Winn."*
51. The link returns a OneDrive login page which states that *"This file is protected by a password. If you don't know the password, ask the owner of the file for it."*, and after typing in the password provided by Mercury, the page returns the error message *"This password is not valid. Try again."*
52. On 8 December 2022, I received an email titled *"Severe Harassment by Miss Vickreman Harvey Chettiar (S9032388A)"* sent by Mercury's mercuryjamiealice@protonmail.com ProtonMail email address to the President, Auditors, and Ombudsman of Mensa Singapore; my email address was erroneously saved by Mercury as "Mensa Singapore Communications", resulting in me having received the full contents of the email including its file attachments.
53. These attachments contain images which I understand Mercury had submitted as evidence for **PHC/10295/2022** against the three named Respondents when filing the claim, and had also included Mercury's own unredacted Claim Form for **PHC/10295/2022** which only Mercury has direct access to. In the body message of this email, Mercury had made numerous unsubstantiated allegations primarily against Harvey, as well as against myself and other persons.
54. Upon further inspection of the supposed evidence Mercury is citing of the Respondents' actions, I consequently understand that the vast majority of the images were fabricated and either had nothing to do with the Respondents, or had involved messaging accounts in fact created and operated by Mercury to falsely personate the Respondents, and the remainder of the evidence were not threatening or harassing to begin with and simply involved the Respondents complaining about being mistreated by Mercury, or replying to threats made by Mercury.
55. **PHC/10295/2022** in its entirety is thus not only a vexatious claim, but may very likely constitute offences under Penal Code § 211 as the grounds for the claim were largely fabricated by Mercury to produce false charges of offences made with intent to injure the Respondents.
56. The nature of cases brought before the Protection from Harassment Court are such that the Court will make the final rulings on such cases using the balance of probabilities standard of proof, whereby the Court is satisfied an event occurred if the Court considers that, on the evidence, the occurrence of the event was more likely than not.
57. Mercury's lodging of **PHC/10295/2022**, regardless of its intent, has the material effect of making it ambiguous in the eyes of the Court as to who had actually committed the many offences specified, such that the Court will now have to consider that it could either be Mercury or another person Mercury alleges to have committed the same offences, namely Harvey. The consequence of this is that any "evidence" adduced to the Court which creates the appearance that Harvey would be a more likely culprit than Mercury would tilt the Court in that direction, and make it more likely for an injurious finding to be produced against Harvey.

58. As District Judge Sheik Umar bin Mohamed Bagushair had also presided over **PHC/10484/2022** while having prior knowledge of Mercury's own assertions regarding the burner accounts being supposedly operated by Harvey to frame Mercury as the operator of the burner accounts, this also likely had the material effect of causing him to have to consider the possibility that either Mercury or Harvey could equally likely be the operators of the accounts and not take the contents of the messages at face value; this ultimately caused Joyce's EPO application to be dismissed.
59. It should be noted that Mercury specifies the duration of the alleged incidents as 1 September 2020 to 26 July 2022; this is relevant because Mercury has previously accused several other persons of such actions which subsequent evidence would show to have been committed by Mercury instead.

Mercury's allegations of at least five persons supposedly framing Mercury

60. I put on record that many of the incidents and actions that Mercury now attributes to Harvey date back as far as 2018, with the following persons having been named by Mercury as the culprits actively working to frame Mercury for offences which Mercury claims to not have committed, and instead claims that whichever of these persons Mercury is accusing at some particular time must have been actively trying to create the false appearance that Mercury had committed these offences for the purpose of getting Mercury pinned for these offences so that Mercury would be incarcerated and that these persons would thereafter supposedly be able to further their own objectives:
- a. Mdm Teo Hwee Peng (hereinafter "Mercury's mother"), from July 2020
 - b. Mr Chan Mun Kiat Danial (hereinafter "Mercury's stepfather"), from July 2020
 - c. Mr Mohammad Adnan bin Mohammad Azman (hereinafter "Adnan"), from October 2020
 - d. Ms Siti Nurul Ain binte Erwane (hereinafter "Siti"), from July 2021
 - e. Ms Vickreman Harvey Chettiar (hereinafter "Harvey"), from October 2021
61. I shall hereinafter collectively refer to Mdm Teo Hwee Peng and Mr Chan Mun Kiat Danial simply as "Mercury's parents", insofar as I am referring to both of them in conjunction. I have very little information about Mercury's birth father Mr Lim Teck Meng other than knowing that Mercury's mother had divorced him in 2006 and that Mercury's last contact with him was in 2008, and I am thus not aware if Mercury has attributed these incidents and actions to him.
62. By way of context, I understand that on 15 March 2019, Mercury's parents had evicted Mercury from their family home within short notice, and that this was only twelve days after Mercury and Harvey had met in person for the first time on 3 March 2019; Mercury had consequently sought Harvey's assistance to instantiate a child maintenance claim in the Family Justice Court. At that material time, Harvey had been Mercury's *de facto* custodian and had thus instantiated the maintenance claim **MSS 1450/2020** on 26 May 2020 under § 69(3)(a) of the Women's Charter, which permits a person with actual custody of Mercury to instantiate such a claim in the Family Justice Court. For this claim, Harvey was the Complainant on behalf of Mercury, and had named Mercury's mother as the Respondent; the claim was subsequently struck out on 5 November 2020.
63. On 2 November 2020, Mercury's mother had filed the instant Summons application **SS 2077/2020** against Mercury alleging that Mercury had engaged in the commission of family violence against herself and her two daughters, Ms Jerena Lim Yee Xuan and Ms Beatrice Chan Shi Qi, and had sought a Personal Protection Order (PPO) under § 65 of the Women's Charter to restrain Mercury from the commission of such family violence against the aforesaid persons; an Expedited Order (**EO 1475/2020**) was granted by the Family Justice Court on the same day under § 66 of the Women's Charter due to the Court being satisfied that there is imminent danger of family violence being committed against Mercury's mother and her two daughters.

64. Mercury was named as the Respondent to SS 2077/2020, for which Mercury's mother was the Complainant, and on 25 November 2020 Mercury had consequently pleaded on the record in Mercury's own Respondent's Statement of Evidence the following specific paragraphs which I shall hereinafter reproduce for reference due to their relevance to allegations which form a crucial part of Mercury's claims even today and for which Mercury is now attributing to Harvey:

a. In paragraph 33 of the Respondent's Statement of Evidence, Mercury pleaded:

"33. The Complainant and her husband, Mr Chan Mun Kiat, between the eve of 2018 and the present day, have caused much anguish to me, and within the past month have also targeted my fiancée, Ms Lai Rena, and her family for harassment, by causing the distribution of intimate images of Ms Lai Rena to her mother, and threatening the distribution of other intimate images."

b. In paragraphs 132 to 133 of the Respondent's Statement of Evidence, Mercury pleaded:

"132. At an unknown date and time between 1st November 2020 and 5th November 2020, my old Huawei Nova 3i mobile-phone was stolen from the rented common room in Woodlands wherein I reside, and I discovered my old Huawei Nova 3i mobile-phone to be missing on the night of 5th November 2020. I consequently filed SPF Report No. L-20201110-7006 on 10th November 2020 in regard of the theft of my old Huawei Nova 3i mobile-phone (refer to pages RSE-26 to RSE-29 of Exhibit RSE).

133. I verily suspect that the Complainant and her husband, Mr Chan Mun Kiat, were involved in the theft of my old Huawei Nova 3i mobile-phone, in all likelihood with the assistance of their private investigator."

c. In paragraphs 134 to 140 of the Respondent's Statement of Evidence, Mercury pleaded:

"134. On 14th November 2020, a person with the display name "Megan" added me, my fiancée Ms Lai Rena, and my fiancée's mother Ms Winn Goh to a chat group named "Happy Family" on the communications platform Telegram, and posted intimate images of Ms Lai Rena, (the only copy of which existed on my stolen Huawei Nova 3i mobile-phone), into the chat group, thereby causing much embarrassment and anguish to Ms Lai Rena, as her mother viewed the images, which were accompanied by such rude comments from Megan as "Such an innocent-looking schoolgirl, no one will thought she is sleeping around" and "The proof is @hgalice's dick in her mouth, and it's conveniently in her family's house".

135. Megan then added Siti's childhood friend, Mr Mohammad Adnan bin Mohammad Azman (hereinafter referenced as "Adnan") into the same chat group, and posted intimate images of Siti therein, tagging Adnan with the comment "Turning the wheel of fate" and asking "Are those self-harm scars on Siti's pelvis?", thereby causing Adnan to suffer anguish at seeing his childhood friend's intimate images and reading such crude comments.

136. I felt further harassed due to Megan referencing Harvey as an "ah gua Jew" and a "Jewish ah gua", as the term Ah Gua is a derogatory Hokkien phrase meaning an effeminate or homosexual man, and is also used as a slur to refer to cisgender male cross-dressers. Although Harvey is an adherent of Humanistic Judaism, she is not a cisgender homosexual male cross-dresser, but rather a transgender woman receiving treatment.

137. As Megan also messaged me directly via Telegram threatening to distribute further intimate images of my fiancée to her mother unless the home address of Harvey is revealed to Megan, (as Harvey has always used her commercial address for all Family Justice Courts matters, as she wants to avoid any possibility of the Complainant or her husband appearing at her home and disturbing her family members), and I further engaged in a protracted conversation with Megan, I am wholly confident based upon my interactions with Megan that she is none other than the Complainant.

138. Megan further threatened me with the distribution of Siti's intimate images to her family members, and I orally informed Siti of the threat over the telephone, as a consequence of which Siti suffered much anguish and fear, is unwilling to testify at the trial of the instant personal protection matter, as she is afraid that the threat would be carried out in retaliation for her testimony against the Complainant.

139. In addition, Megan also messaged my fiancée's mother, Ms Winn Goh, via Telegram, and during the course of their conversation, which was primarily intended at convincing Ms Winn Goh to persuade my fiancée to end our romantic relationship, Megan admitted to being my mother, i.e. the Complainant Mdm Teo Hwee Peng, with the words "I'm his mother, though didn't disclose to James otherwise he will not be receptive."

140. The distribution of my fiancée's intimate images to her mother, Ms Winn Goh, together with some undisclosed allegations raised by Megan in her private conversation via Telegram with Ms Goh, has caused a strain in my fiancée's relationship with Ms Goh, whose good opinion of my fiancée has thus been diminished, thereby causing much anguish to my fiancée."

65. On 6 January 2021, the Line2 burner phone number **+44-7418-601-380** had added both Mercury's parents and Mercury's sister Jerena to a WhatsApp chatgroup titled "SS-2077-2020" at 6.33am, with the display name "Mercury Jamie Alice", and had communicated threats to burn down the family residence, and subsequently the Line2 burner phone number **+44-7418-601-580** had added the same three persons to another WhatsApp chatgroup to demand that if the application for a PPO made under **SS 2077/2020** was not dropped, one of Mercury's "Jack The Ripper" alters would use a bicycle lock and a can of kerosene to carry out the threat to burn down the family home.
66. In consequence of these threats made using the **+44-7418-601-380** and **+44-7418-601-580** burner phone numbers, Mercury's stepfather had lodged the SPF report **D/20210106/2049** to report the incidents. Shortly after on 7 January 2021, Mercury's stepfather had filed the instant Summons application **SS 27/2021** against Mercury alleging that on 6 January 2021, Mercury had committed family violence against him by transmitting threatening messages to him via WhatsApp, and had sought a Personal Protection Order (PPO) under § 65 of the Women's Charter to restrain Mercury from the commission of family violence against him and to exclude Mercury from the family home.
67. I understand that Mercury was consequently arrested on suspicion of Breach of Expedited Order at around 12.30am on 14 January 2021, and I further understand that as Harvey had trusted Mercury during that time and had thus genuinely believed Mercury to be innocent of the offence, she had written a letter to your good office on 7 April 2021 to request for proceedings on the matter to be discontinued and for charges to not be preferred against Mercury for the offence of Breach of Expedited Order which Mercury was arrested for.
68. Mercury was named as the Respondent to **SS 27/2021**, for which Mercury's stepfather was the Complainant, and on 31 March 2021 Mercury had consequently pleaded on the record in Mercury's own Respondent's Statement of Evidence the following specific paragraphs which I shall hereinafter reproduce for reference due to their relevance to allegations which form a crucial part of Mercury's claims even today and for which Mercury is now attributing to Harvey:

- a. In paragraphs 39 to 42 of the Respondent's Statement of Evidence, Mercury pleaded:

"39. On Thursday 4th February 2021 at about 6:55pm, a person with the display name "Reaper Angel" added me and my fiancée's mother Ms Winn Goh to a public chat group named "Children Of Israel" on the communications platform Telegram, then removed Ms Winn Goh within a few minutes.

40. *Reaper Angel, whose Telegram username was @AletheaReaperAngel, and I then engaged in a conversation (refer to pages RSE-09 to RSE-31 of Exhibit RSE) during which he confessed that he had impersonated me for the purpose of causing me to be apprehended for criminal offenses which I did not commit, and in pursuance of this objective had sent the threatening messages in my name to the Complainant via the WhatsApp platform from the mobile telephone numbers +44-7418-601380 and +44-7418-601580.*

41. *Reaper Angel's disclosed motive for desiring to have me apprehended and imprisoned is to obtain the opportunity in my absence to court my fiancée.*

42. *Reaper Angel admitted during the conversation to being my best friend Siti's childhood friend, Mr Mohammad Adnan bin Mohammad Azman (hereinafter referenced as "Adnan"), and claimed to have spyware on the mobile-phone of both the Complainant and other persons. As he was able to obtain the access credentials for the account with the username hg.alice on the social media platform Instagram, which had allegedly been used by the Complainant to impersonate me, I am certain of the truth of his claims."*

b. In paragraph 45 of the Respondent's Statement of Evidence, Mercury pleaded:

"45. On Friday 5th February 2021, I had filed SPF Report No. D-20210205-2064 (refer to pages RSE-32 to RSE-33 of Exhibit RSE) against Adnan, who resides at [redacted address in Jurong West], in relation to our conversation in the "Children Of Israel" public chat group on the communications platform Telegram (and his confessions therein to impersonating and framing me for Breach of Expedited Order)."

69. The above-pleaded in paragraph 64 of this letter *prima facie* demonstrates that in November 2020, Mercury had attributed the operation of a "Megan" Telegram burner account, the creation of the "Happy Family" Telegram chatgroup, and the circulation of intimate photographs of both Rena and Siti on the chatgroup in the presence of both Rena's mother and Adnan as having been the doing of Mercury's mother, and had attributed the supposed disappearance of Mercury's Huawei Nova 3i mobile phone as having been the doing of Mercury's parents acting jointly to commit theft.
70. I had also separately come to learn that Mercury had alleged on 7 March 2021 that burner accounts on Telegram, Instagram, and WhatsApp bearing the name "Catkin Human", and which I later understand to be registered to the +44-7418-601-380 burner phone number, are operated by Mercury's stepfather and that the accounts' display name "Catkin Human" being an anagram of "Chan Mun Kiat" is proof that Mercury's stepfather must be the operator of these accounts.
71. In bringing this account to the attention of myself and several other persons at 7.06pm on 7 March 2021, Mercury had also made the following claims regarding Mercury's parents that *"They've trespassed my property before", "They also sent gangsters to send me a message in December", "Stole my phone and had the data forensically extracted", "Yeah so this isn't the first time they did something like this"*, making references to the incidents which Mercury had earlier described in paragraph 64 and attributed to Mercury's parents.
72. Further to that, the above-pleaded in paragraph 68 of this letter *prima facie* demonstrates that in March 2021, Mercury had attributed the operation of a "Reaper Angel" Telegram burner account bearing the handle @AletheaReaperAngel and the creation of the "Children of Israel" Telegram chatgroup as having been the doing of Adnan, and had likewise claimed that the threatening WhatsApp messages sent to Mercury's parents and Mercury's sister Jerena were also done by Adnan for the purposes of framing Mercury so that Adnan can supposedly pursue Rena in Mercury's absence should Mercury be consequently incarcerated.

73. In regard to the origins of Mercury's allegations against Adnan, I note that from October 2020, Mercury had been alleging that Adnan had cloned the full contents of Mercury's Google Drive for Mercury's james.snake98@gmail.com account containing intimate photographs and video recordings of Mercury with Siti and Mercury with Rena, both of whom were in relationships with Mercury at the time, and of Mercury's sexual encounters with other persons. I understand that Mercury believed that Adnan would inevitably use these as blackmail material to cause Rena to separate from Mercury; this was premised on Mercury believing that Adnan was interested in romantically pursuing Rena, and I came to learn that Mercury had expressed these allegations against Adnan to Rena, Harvey, and other persons, and that this was how Harvey had come to learn of them and thereafter how I too had come to learn of them. I also understand that Mercury had as of July 2022 since switched to claiming that Harvey had deliberately manipulated Mercury into believing these alleged actions were Adnan's doing rather than Harvey's.
74. With reference to paragraph 62, I understand that on the day of 5 November 2020, Harvey was at the Family Justice Courts to attend the trial for **MSS 1450/2020** and was stopped by security due to a knife belonging to Mercury being found inside a backpack belonging to Mercury which Mercury had handed to Harvey because Mercury has habitually gotten other persons to assist Mercury to carry bags belonging to Mercury; this was what resulted in Harvey's absence for the trial and hence caused **MSS 1450/2020** to be struck out, which I understand Mercury started resenting Harvey for. In regard to this, I am further aware that both Harvey and Rena had reminded Mercury to remember to remove the knife from Mercury's backpack the day before the 5 November 2020 trial, with Rena having separately reminded Mercury to remove the knife on multiple occasions prior, and that Mercury had not heeded these reminders and had found the repeated reminders to be annoying.
75. I further understand that on the same day, Harvey had learned from both Mercury and Rena that during the material period when Harvey was stopped by security at the Family Justice Courts, Mercury had proceeded from the Courthouse to Mercury's then-residence in Woodlands to obtain a separate knife and had subsequently proceeded from Woodlands to Adnan's Jurong West residence and used that knife to threaten Adnan's parents regarding the actions Mercury alleged Adnan was responsible for, which had resulted in them telling Mercury never to show up at their address again. While Adnan's parents had not lodged a police report on the matter, this was recounted by both Rena and Mercury to Harvey, and is subsequently how I came to learn of it.
76. I note that Mercury had started making similar allegations against Siti after Siti had discontinued her relationship with Mercury due to longstanding issues, and hereinafter reproduce the full text from Siti's own Response **PHC/RSPS/40177/2022** to Mercury's **PHC/10295/2022** claim:

"I, Siti Nurul Ain Bte Erwane, has been separated from the Claimant for over a year.

My relationship with the Claimant began in 2017. The relationship was neutral for 2 years. Unfortunately, in 2019, the Claimant had cheated on me. The relationship began to sour after that. I was devastated during that period and wanted to leave the Claimant after receiving the news of their infidelity. However, when I wanted to leave, the Claimant would tell that they wanted to commit suicide. I felt pressured to stay in the relationship then. I believed in the Claimant that they would have stopped their infidelity and better themselves. Little did I know that the Claimant would continue their infidelity behind my back.

During this period from February 2019 - July 2021, I lost all confidence and faith in myself. I was depressed and on several occasions, participated in actions of self-harm and considered suicide. At the same time, the Claimant continued their infidelity and also, took in another girlfriend. I was in an unconsented, unwanted polyamorous relationship for these 2 years. I never had any contact with both of the Claimant's other partners during the course of the relationship, so I did not know their contact unless they began contacting me.

In July 2021, I had finally mustered the confidence and courage to leave them. I thought I could quietly continue my life after leaving. I had absolutely no contact with the Claimant until when one of the Claimant's partners came to look for me while I was at work, her presence distraught me enough to send me into a panic attack. I called up the Claimant to call her away because I wanted to work for the day but my panic attack triggered and I was terrified to continue working. I had to leave work early due to it, losing half my daily pay. On the same day, the police came knocking on my door to tell me that I had allegedly set up an anthrax attack at Bedok Mall. I was questioned by the police for 2 hours at a nearby staircase as my mum was distraught by the incident. My phone was confiscated and I was cleared within 72 hours. At this time, I had blocked them from all contact from me.

In October 2021, I received a Letter of Demand in my email from them. I emailed the Claimant back and unblocked from WhatsApp temporarily to reply to them that the accusation was misplaced. In the same month, I was playing at the arcade at Suntec until the Claimant approached me and began to hurl accusations that I harassed after I had emailed them. My panic attack triggered when I saw them. I tried to walk away from them but the Claimant decided to follow me. I decided to hide out in the toilet nearby but the Claimant confidently said to me that, "I could wait all day outside the toilet."

I left the toilet and went off to try to shake them off. I went to Esplanade MRT in hopes they would stop following me from there. However, the Claimant continued to follow me, leaving their partners at the arcade, all the way to Harbourfront, all the while trying to stop me and make me confess to something that I did not do. I was scared and distraught. But out of goodwill, I did not pursue any legal actions.

When I received this Claim, I felt like my goodwill was betrayed and taken advantage of. I deeply regret this kindness that I gave the Claimant in my last few moments to them. I am utterly disappointed in the Claimant's actions."

77. Separately, I note that Mercury had previously approached Tobias with Mercury's allegations against Adnan to ask Tobias to speak to Adnan, consequent to which Tobias had come to discover that Mercury's allegations against Adnan were false and had thereafter cut contact with Mercury; indeed, I had come to be acquainted with Tobias precisely because Mercury had namedropped Tobias while falsely personating me on 10 July 2022. I hereinafter reproduce the full text from Tobias' own Response **PHC/RSPS/40182/2022** to Mercury's **PHC/10295/2022** claim:

"Mercury Jamie Alice was an acquaintance of mine. She asked me to talk to someone, claiming that this person was harassing her. After speaking to Mercury's "harasser", I realized that Mercury's claims were unfounded and could not be supported. I felt used and cut contact since around the 1st half of 2021.

I recently became involved as a few of my friends have been on the receiving end of Mercury's own harassment, including [REDACTED] who had an intimate video leaked by Mercury. 11 police reports were filed against Mercury, including one for publicly circulating the video on groups such as "Healing the Divide", as well as mass harassment of people. A criminal investigation is happening from June 2022 till today.

On the 25th of July, while [REDACTED] was on a Zoom call with her friends, I was contacted at 7:15 PM by a Telegram account going by "Nic", claiming to have "heard Harv(ey)'s voice on [REDACTED]'s PC" and "Cari, Joyce, Harv, [REDACTED], who's the 5th voice?". I communicated this to [REDACTED], who called the police (Report No: F/20220725/0136) as she suspected that listening devices were installed in her home. Joyce also called me asking me to go over to [REDACTED]'s place to give a statement to the police. At 9:28 PM, "Nic" messages me saying "I can hear everything through the microphone on her phone" and "I am ready to remote wipe the spying app to not leave any trace for the cops".

From Mercury's imaginary harasser to the current investigations against Mercury, Mercury has a history of harassing people, and then blaming it on others, which includes myself in this current case.

I have not contacted Mercury since 2021, and was myself contacted BY Mercury recently in late July and early August 2022, where she threatened to "say hi to your mother when I come by to set your house on fire and burn her alive" because I was helping my friends with their police cases. I have attached evidence for this. Mercury's claims are baseless, and I have not harassed Mercury or her partners. Thank you."

78. On 10 July 2022, while using the fake "Carissa Cheow" Telegram account to message me, Mercury had admitted to suspecting that I must have been "talking to Toby", referring to Tobias Keh, on the basis that *"he knows of most of the after-the-fact sexual disputes in the past, and will probably tell you to contact all of those dozens of girls to build a case against me, or at least damage reputé"*.
79. Upon further questioning from me, Mercury had admitted that this comprised *"maybe 26 or 27, or 28 at the most"*, described "outright consent" as *"when you ask a girl if she is willing to have sex, and she clearly says Yes"* and "implied consent" as *"when you ask a girl if she is willing to have sex, and she doesn't say Yes or No, but she doesn't object when you have sex"*, stated that *"18 were outright consent, and 8+ were implied"*, and confirmed that this included Mercury's own stepsister Beatrice Chan Shi Qi, who was born on 22 April 2010 and was thus only eight years old at the time of the incident where Mercury had sexually assaulted Beatrice in February 2019, with Mercury even specifying that "yes, and it was outright consent, not implied" before deleting the message and pretending to not know what I was talking about.
80. To the best of my current knowledge, Mercury's known sexual assault cases include 12 rape cases, 16 molest cases, and 4 pedophilia cases, one of which (involving Beatrice) also constitutes incest.
81. I further note that Mercury had started making similar allegations against Harvey as the ones Mercury had previously made against Mercury's parents and Adnan, after Harvey had refused to provide the technical assistance requested by Mercury to render Mercury's anonymous SPF i-Witness report **W/20210809/0133** containing the anthrax hoax untraceable back to Mercury.
82. On 16 August 2021, Mercury messaged Elijah using Mercury's main Telegram account @hgalice, which is not a burner account and bears the display name *"The Undying Dragon, Mercury Jamie Alice"*, and I shall hereinafter reproduce these messages between Mercury and Elijah:
 - a. These messages were sent on 16 August 2021:

Mercury: *"Mx Elijah."*
Mercury: *"I need your help."*
Mercury: *"I'm in big trouble."*
Mercury: *"My name is Mercury Jamie Alice. I am an associate of Miss Vickreman Harvey Chettiar. I was caught in something big recently and forced to frame her."*
 - b. These messages were sent on 17 August 2021:

Mercury: *"Mx Elijah?"*
Elijah: *"Hey Mercury Jamie Alice! Just Elijah will do. How may I assist you?"*
Mercury: *"We've been forced to sell out Harvey."*
Mercury: *"Police wanted a bigger fish and basically used coercive tactics."*
Mercury: *"We need your help to avoid jail time because one of our Alters made a bomb hoax."*
Mercury: *"..."*

Disclaimer: I am not an Advocate & Solicitor under the provisions of Part IV of the Legal Profession Act (Chapter 161), do not in any form or manner represent myself as a person authorized to practice law or perform any act as such advocate and solicitor under Section 32 of the said Act, and am not at all performing any such aforementioned act as such person in expectation of any fee, gain or reward.

Mercury: *"I was arrested Monday the 9th for an anthrax hoax (which I committed stupidly while drunk), and then during my 48 hours in police custody, the cops promised to let me off if I could give them a bigger fish (i.e. Miss Vickreman Harvey Chettiar) by giving false testimony against her.*

I refused to betray Harvey like that, so then the cops threatened to send me to jail indefinitely using the Criminal Law (Temporary Provisions) Act unless I agreed to file the false allegations against Harvey within 24 hours of my release.

The police agreed to release me on police bail, instead of arraigning me in Court and having me remanded to police custody for renewable periods of one week, only after I promised that I will file the false police report against Harvey.

But I was still fucking hesitant about betraying Harvey, so I ultimately only filed the report two days after my release, i.e. on Friday 13 August.

Once I filed the report, I was immediately very regretful, and told Harvey that I'd filed a police report against her, and I gave her a report copy."

Mercury: *"In addition, in order to make sure they nail me for something, however small it is, they also charged me for the porn I had in my phone."*

- c. These messages were sent on 18 August 2021:

Mercury: *"Are you there?"*

- d. These messages were sent on 19 August 2021:

Mercury: *"..."*

Elijah: *"Hello! Thanks for sharing and for your patience - I've had a lot to juggle. How would you like me to help you?"*

- e. These messages were sent on 20 August 2021:

Mercury: *"I would like you to publicly advocate for me to pressure the police and the AGC to either drop the cases or at least let you off with a Conditional Warning."*

Mercury: *"It is rather urgent."*

83. The false police report Mercury had lodged against Harvey on 13 August 2021 while claiming to Elijah that the police had threatened to imprison Mercury indefinitely under the Criminal Law (Temporary Provisions) Act unless Mercury had lodged a police report containing false allegations against Harvey was [A/20210813/7007](#), and I understand that this report contains false allegations specifically regarding Harvey's civil suit [HC/S 1314/2016](#) against the National Healthcare Group Pte Ltd, as well as Harvey's MCN-900647-2020 criminal charge under Penal Code § 425.
84. I understand that in response, Harvey had lodged the SPF report [G/20210815/7017](#) two days later to both counter and defend herself on the record against Mercury's false allegations affecting Harvey's ongoing cases, and Mercury had consequently lodged the SPF report [G/20210902/7071](#) to withdraw the false claims Mercury had knowingly made against Harvey in [A/20210813/7007](#). Mercury had admitted the following in [G/20210902/7071](#) regarding Mercury's anthrax hoax:

"I was also acting under the forcible influence of another negatively-inclined alter on the night of Monday 9 August 2021, when I filed the anonymous SPF Report No. W-20210809-0133, impersonating Ms Siti Nurul Ain binte Erwane and falsely alleging that Mr Mohd Adnan bin Mohd Azman had placed anthrax spores at the Bedok bus interchange."

85. The events traversed in paragraphs 09 to 22 of this letter detail Mercury's continuation and escalation of false allegations against Harvey and Mercury's repeated attempts to seek assistance from myself and other persons with appealing for Mercury's cases to be dropped while asking that we cease assistance to Harvey on the basis of false allegations deliberately aimed at causing us to distance ourselves from Harvey. These attempts by and large did not succeed, which resulted in Mercury targeting the contacted persons with harassment and criminal intimidation instead.
86. Contrary to Mercury's current false and injurious assertions that I and other persons who have been persistently targeted by Mercury over the past 280 days are allegedly engaged in an elaborate criminal conspiracy deliberately instigated by Harvey to frame up Mercury for a litany of offences so that we can get Mercury wrongly incarcerated, the actual reality is that many of the persons who were contacted by Mercury had only come to be acquainted, or to be more closely acquainted, because of each of us having been separately contacted by Mercury and targeted afterwards when we had opted not to assist Mercury and to continue to assist Harvey instead.
87. I and such other persons have simply wanted nothing to do with Mercury and had no particular interest in Mercury's life and doings whatsoever, up until we were personally targeted and had to seek recourse through lodging police reports and harassment claims, for which we were targeted even further by Mercury. The two Bundles of Evidence (439 pages and 396 pages) detail this.
88. I shall briefly also note that Mercury has attempted to characterize the many burner accounts used as "3D chess" or "4D chess" masterminded by Harvey, which can be found in Figures 708 and 709 of the first Bundle of Evidence (439 pages) in messages sent by Mercury via Secret Chat using the "James Gamez" account to Lune on 11 and 12 July 2022, which I shall reproduce hereinafter:
- "After extricating myself and my partners from Harvey's clutches, I have moved on with my life and I am now focussing on building my career, so why would I want to risk a disruption to my plans by trying to manipulate Harvey's friends into filing police reports which shall ultimately lead to her getting charged and imprisoned?*
- I think the police will be able to see clearly that I have not been up to any mischief, and all your police reports are the consequence of Harvey's actions in trying to frame me up as attempting to frame her as framing me up as the harasser."*
89. Mercury has also, using the fake "Derek" account, made the following claims on the "Children of Palestine" (t.me/Children_of_Palestine) public chatgroup regarding Harvey:
- "Mercury knows that between 2018 and 2021, Harvey had been engineering the situations for keeping Mercury and her wives under Harvey's control, including causing Mercury's arrest by the police three times – in 2018 for loanshark running, in January 2021 for Expedited Order by framing Mercury, and again in August 2021 by sabotaging Mercury's operational plans for reuniting Kit with R – with Mercury's phone or computer being seized and kept permanently by the police consequent to each arrest, and Mercury also spent one month inside Changi Prison Complex in 2018 for pre-trial remand.*
- Harvey baited Mercury the first time, outright framed Mercury the second time, and baited Mercury again the third time.*
- Harvey was never punished for engineering situations over three years to keep Mercury and her partners under Harvey's control and dependent on Harvey, because this can't be proven in Court, so Harvey going down for thirteen counts of Section 377BE and being put in prison for at least a few years (with all but one of the terms running concurrently) is justice for everything she'd done to Mercury."*

90. Mercury's 28 May 2018 arrest and one-month pre-trial detention afterwards had happened many months before Harvey had even known of Mercury, making it a chronological impossibility for Harvey to have deliberately engineered such a situation.
91. I further note that on the "Children of Palestine" (t.me/Children_of_Palestine) public chatgroup, Mercury (using the fake "Derek" turned fake "L u w u n e ™" burner account) had gone on to claim that the actions Mercury had attributed to Mercury's parents and to Adnan earlier were supposedly in fact done by Harvey all along, such as the following:
- "Harvey had framed up Mercury for Breach of Expedited Order, so that Mercury would then be dependent on Harvey to write a letter for Mercury to the AGC to get her off the charge.*
- Harvey created two fake WhatsApp accounts in Mercury's name, and messaged Mercury's biological mother with them, while there was an Expedited Protection Order in place from the said biological mother against Mercury.*
- She obtained the video from my retired Huawei Nova 3i which she stole from my rental room in the first week of November 2020."*
92. These are consistent with Mercury's recurring pattern of switching blame to whichever person Mercury harbours grievances against at any given point: firstly Mercury's parents for past abuses, then Adnan for supposedly trying to pursue Rena, and then Siti for ending her relationship with Mercury in July 2021, and finally Harvey for refusing to aid and abet Mercury's anthrax hoax.

Deliberate falsehoods advanced by Mercury-aligned Christian Right groups

93. I shall finally traverse the false allegations advanced by Mercury-aligned Christian Right groups, which broadly comprise the following three claims:
- firstly, that those of Mercury's many victims such as myself and other persons who have spoken up about our experiences and sought various forms of recourse are "trans extremists" who are actively persecuting Mercury and trying to frame Mercury for offences on the basis that Mercury has now decided to detransition (i.e. to reverse one's gender transition) and that we allegedly disagree with Mercury's decision to detransition;
 - secondly, that Mercury was indoctrinated and/or influenced by Harvey into deciding to undergo gender transition in the first place only after meeting Harvey, and that both Harvey and the rest of us actively harbour ill-will and motives against Mercury precisely because Mercury regrets transitioning and has decided to break free from Harvey's supposed control;
 - thirdly, that those of Mercury's many victims who have chosen to lodge our own police reports and initiate harassment claims against Mercury are doing so to deliberately undermine public confidence in law enforcement or the judiciary, and that this makes us a threat to public order such that urgent action ought to be taken against us and especially against Harvey.
94. In response to the first claim, I wish to make it extremely clear and in absolutely no uncertain terms that I fully respect Mercury's decisions whether to transition or to detransition, as this is ultimately a very personal decision to be made that only the individual would know best what works for them. I further wish to state that my record on this matter has been extremely consistent and is evidenced by my own work on related matters within the community in various past capacities, though I write this letter solely in my personal capacity, and I hence submit that any allegations made by anyone asserting that I hold any other position are false, baseless, and unsubstantiated.

Disclaimer: I am not an Advocate & Solicitor under the provisions of Part IV of the Legal Profession Act (Chapter 161), do not in any form or manner represent myself as a person authorized to practice law or perform any act as such advocate and solicitor under Section 32 of the said Act, and am not at all performing any such aforementioned act as such person in expectation of any fee, gain or reward.

95. I do not purport to speak for any other person, but I can categorically confirm that having either already known or having come to know a sizeable number (though not all) of Mercury's past victims personally, I do not know of even one victim of Mercury's offences seeking to actively persecute Mercury because of any supposed disagreement with Mercury's decision to detransition.
96. I further submit that while Mercury's victims do include a sizeable number of transgender persons and other queer persons, it is far from the case that Mercury's victims are all or even predominantly trans, and that many are cisgender persons and even heterosexual persons too. It is thus factually incorrect and wholly irresponsible either to portray Mercury's victims as harbouring some kind of hardline agenda seeking to impose its own views on everyone else and even actively persecuting innocent persons, or to portray Mercury's offences as characteristic of all transgender persons or of all detransitioning persons. Mercury's actions are not generalizable to any demographic of persons.
97. In response to the second claim, I put on record that Mercury and Harvey had only come to know each other in the last week of February 2019 and met for the first time on 3 March 2019, whereas Mercury had in fact commenced social transition on 12 November 2018. As a matter of fact, it was Mercury who had pleaded this on the record in paragraphs 4 and 34 of Mercury's own Witness' Statement of Evidence for the maintenance claim MSS 1450/2020:
- "4. I am a transgender woman, and I began my social transition on Monday 12th November 2018 by publishing a "coming-out" post on my account on the social media platform Instagram. The post generated twice the amount of viewership and commentary as other posts I made contemporaneously.*
- 34. Subsequent to the start of my social transition on Monday 12th November 2018, I began expressing my femininity by, inter alia, growing my hair to an appropriate feminine length and dressing in suitable feminine clothing."*
98. The exact sequence of events is further corroborated and particularized in paragraphs 26, 27, 28, 37, and 45 of Siti's Witness' Statement of Evidence for the maintenance claim MSS 1450/2020, which is definitely a reliable source on the matter as Siti was both closely acquainted with Mercury and in a relationship with Mercury at that material time (Mercury was referenced as "Jamie" here):
- "26. As Jamie was not comfortable in Boys' Town due to her gender identity, (although she had not yet begun any form of gender transition at the time), Jamie and I jointly paid a deposit in the amount of \$800/- to secure a rental room located in the Lakeside area (hereinafter referenced as "the Lakeside rental room"), to which Jamie moved on Tuesday 1st May 2018.*
- 27. However, less than a month later, on Monday 28th May 2018, Jamie and I were both arrested in connection to offenses relating to unlicensed money-lending, and arraigned in State Court and remanded to pre-trial detention.*
- 28. Jamie had felt extremely uncomfortable during the month-long period of pre-trial detention in Changi Prison Complex among male detainees, due to her gender identity, (despite not having begun gender transition at the time), and thus spent much of the time during her period of incarceration contemplating her sexual orientation, and gender identity and expression.*
- 37. In July 2018, Jamie disclosed to me that she is genderfluid, (as she had not yet realized the full extent of her femininity), which I readily accepted, as I love her unconditionally as a person, regardless of her gender identity.*
- 45. In December 2018, having realized the full extent of her femininity, Jamie disclosed to me that she is a transgender woman, which I readily accepted."*

99. The facts of the matter are that Mercury's contemplation and commencement of gender transition in 2018 had long pre-dated Harvey and Mercury becoming acquainted in 2019, and there are clearly no grounds whatsoever to assert that Mercury's transition was influenced by Harvey.
100. In response to the third claim, I categorically aver that I am aware that the police has in fact been actively investigating the matter, complex and time-intensive as it may be, and has already been in contact with the Attorney-General's Chambers regarding the consideration of charges, though I am not privy to the details of how far along in the process either of them may be, or which charges will be preferred against Mercury. I am thus very clear that this matter is being taken seriously, and it would not only be ludicrous, but also downright insulting, for other persons to suggest that any of us are lodging our respective complaints to deliberately undermine confidence in such institutions.
101. I am currently aware of at least 52 SPF reports lodged by over fifteen distinct individuals across all seven police land divisions, and I am aware that the cases are receiving consolidated attention from the Bedok Police Divisional Headquarters under the supervision of Assistant Superintendent Mohamed Shahudin bin Abu Bakar and a team of investigation officers, and I myself have lodged thirteen SPF reports (inclusive of case cards) with the following report numbers: F/20220705/2001, G/20220906/7103, J/20220908/2161, G/20220908/2247, L/20220922/0131, G/20220925/7068, F/20221017/2009, F/20221018/2012, A/20221022/2055, F/20221027/2106, F/20221118/0136, J/20230115/0125, and F/20230222/7130. I am thus familiar with the work they have done.
102. I wish to put on record my sincerest appreciation for their efforts especially in attending to such an unprecedented case as this, and consequently put on record my appreciation to the Attorney-General's Chambers for its efforts in looking into this case as well, given the significance to public interest that will arise from various elements of the case, including possible ways to strengthen some of our current legislation to address such cases that may emerge in the future especially as technology-facilitated harm offences become increasingly prevalent and hence our frameworks will inevitably have to keep pace with such developments.
103. I submit that the greater actual threat to public order and national security would arise should any precedent be set for severe offences to be unpunishable so long as they cannot be traced back to their offender, as this would send a message that anyone can get away with criminal offences so long as they conceal their tracks sufficiently or muddy the waters sufficiently, and that this would be far more damaging to public confidence in law enforcement and the judiciary.
104. In regard to confidence in the impartiality of the judiciary, I further submit that I have become aware of a particular District Judge Kessler Soh Boon Leng who is presiding over the criminal cases for both Mercury and Harvey, and having come to learn further details about his involvement, I have lodged both a CPIB complaint and an SPF report on several matters concerning him and other persons I have opted not to name here or to describe in further detail. Both my CPIB complaint and its accompanying SPF report were referenced in paragraph 42 of this letter.
105. It is with regard to his specific connection to both Mercury's criminal cases and Harvey's criminal cases, and the specific nature of this connection, that I regrettably have to raise such a request in this letter hereinafter specifically pertaining to him in particular, and I wish to put on record that his involvement or conduct is of course not generalizable to the judiciary as an institution, or to the impartiality of other officers of the judiciary. Nevertheless, I humbly ask that due attention be given to this matter and to its corresponding request, and I pray that your good office will be able to convey this to the necessary parties with authority and jurisdiction to investigate the matter.

Conclusion

106. Having brought the above to the attention of your good office, I humbly ask that:
- The contents of this letter, and both the first and second Bundles of Evidence (439 pages and 396 pages) for which I will submit hard-copies in person, be brought forthwith to the attention of the Deputy Public Prosecutors having conduct of the matters against Mercury and Harvey
 - Charges that are ready to be served be **duly preferred** against Mercury and served accordingly at the earliest possible time, and charges that may require more time to consider the specifics of **continue to be looked into** with a view to the eventual preferring of the appropriate charges
 - The staying of Mercury's criminal proceedings pending the conclusion of Harvey's criminal proceedings such that Harvey's criminal proceedings must be completed before Mercury's criminal proceedings can resume, be **reversed and revoked**
 - District Judge Kessler Soh Boon Leng be **strictly recused** from presiding over both Mercury's criminal proceedings and Harvey's criminal proceedings, and other suitable judges without any conflict of interest be assigned to replace him in presiding over such proceedings
107. I trust that your good office will afford due consideration to the various matters raised hereinbefore, thank you for your kind attention, and await your favorable response. I can also be contacted at +65- [REDACTED] - [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]@[REDACTED].com if you happen to require any urgent clarification.

Yours faithfully,



Ms Carissa Cheow Hui Ying
(Contact No. +65-[REDACTED]-[REDACTED])

Disclaimer: I am not an Advocate & Solicitor under the provisions of Part IV of the Legal Profession Act (Chapter 161), do not in any form or manner represent myself as a person authorized to practice law or perform any act as such advocate and solicitor under Section 32 of the said Act, and am not at all performing any such aforementioned act as such person in expectation of any fee, gain or reward.